



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0392	<b>Title:</b>	Revise subdivision laws
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Brueggeman, John	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts           | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

	<b><u>FY 2010 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2011 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2012 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2013 Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### **Description of fiscal impact:**

SB 392 will result in increased revenue for the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) due to fees generated from subdivision reviews and increased expenditures due to payments to counties for contracted reviews.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Assumptions:**

1. It is assumed SB 392 will create 20 new subdivision applications per year that have two lots each.
2. Review fees will be \$250 per lot or \$500 per application. (20 applications x \$500 = \$10,000 revenue.)
3. Half of these reviews (ten) will be reviewed by DEQ and half (ten) will be done by contracted counties.
4. Contracted counties will be paid 80% of the fees generated by the applications reviewed by the county (10 applications x \$500 x 80% = \$4,000)
5. The minor amount of workload created by SB 392 will not have a significant impact on staff duties or review time frames.

	<b><u>FY 2010 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2011 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2012 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2013 Difference</u></b>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
Operating Expenses	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
<b>TOTAL Expenditures</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
<b>TOTAL Funding of Exp.</b>	<b>\$4,000</b>	<b>\$4,000</b>	<b>\$4,000</b>	<b>\$4,000</b>
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
<b>TOTAL Revenues</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000

**Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:**

1. Contracted county staff workload will increase by ten reviews per year. There will be an increase in payments to counties for reviews performed under contract.

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Sponsor's Initials*\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*\_\_\_\_\_  
*Budget Director's Initials*\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*